

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,504	10/27/2003	Tsuyoshi Matsumura	03180.0339	3147	
759	90 02/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W.			TRAN, TAN N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do			2826	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No. Applicant(s)			
	10/693,504	MATSUMURA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
_ <u>.</u>	TAN N TRAN	2826		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	October 2003			
· ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· •		
closed in addordance with the practice under	Expante quayre, 1000 0.5.	11, 400 0.0. 210.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	Minhloan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826		
Application Papers		AIL OINL 2020		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appliority documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	" □	(DTO 442)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/693,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 60.

II. Claims 10-20, drawn to a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device,

classified in class 438, subclass 149.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case,

unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the

Group II invention, because the device of Group I invention could be made by a process

materially different from that of the Group II invention. For example, the process of claim 10 can

be materially altered by using implanting method instead of depositing method in order to form a

first interlayer insulating film on a substrate.

In the case that Group I, Claims 1-9, is elected, this group of claims has following

patentably distinct species of the disclosed invention.

Species A, Figs. 1,2

Species B, Fig. 10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, none of claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species

that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all

claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/693,504

Art Unit: 2826

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

Page 4

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-

extensive and separate examination would be require, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an 4.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

FR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Tan Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1923. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TT

Jan 2005